# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

### **Requestor Name and Address**

TEXAS HEALTH OF FORT WORTH 3255 WEST PIONEER PARKWAY ARLINGTON TX 76013

### **Respondent Name**

INDEMNITY INSURANCE CO OF N AMERICA

### **Carrier's Austin Representative Box**

Box Number 15

### **MFDR Tracking Number**

M4-12-0082-01

### REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "HRA has been hired by TEXAS HEALTH FORT WORTH HOSPITAL to audit their workers compensation claims. Payment of \$6,185.50 has been received on this claim. Our audit of this claim has determined that this claim has been underpaid." "TDI DWC Rules state that the MAR for inpatient claims is 'the sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 143%.' According to the CMS Inpatient pricer program, the facility specific reimbursement amount for this claim is \$4,492.92. 143% of this amount is \$6,424.88." "Based on this calculation, an additional payment of \$239.38 is now due on this claim."

Amount in Dispute: \$239.38

## RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "A reconsideration was performed upon receipt of the MDR M4-12-0082-01 for dates of service 11/04/2010 to 11/08/2010 for provider Texas Health of Fort Worth. In the course of our investigation is {sic} has been determined that the provider is due no additional money. A reaudit of the original filing indicates that the provider was correctly reimbursed under the current IPPS value and calculations as published by CMS Medicare."

Response Submitted by: ESIS, 225 E. John W. Carpenter Freeway, Suite 1400, Irving, Texas 75062

## **SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
November 4, 2010 Through November 8, 2010	Inpatient Hospital Surgical Services	\$239.38	\$239.38

### FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

### **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for health care providers to pursue a medical fee dispute..
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404 sets out the guidelines for reimbursement of hospital facility fees for inpatient services.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(e) states that: "Except as provided in subsection (h) of this section, regardless of billed amount, reimbursement shall be:
  - (1) the amount for the service that is included in a specific fee schedule set in a contract that complies with the requirements of Labor Code §413.011; or
  - (2) if no contracted fee schedule exists that complies with Labor Code §413.011, the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount under subsection (f) of this section, including any applicable outlier payment amounts and reimbursement for implantables."
  - (3) If no contracted fee schedule exists that complies with Labor Code §413.011, and an amount cannot be determined by application of the formula to calculate the MAR as outlined in subsection (f) of this section, reimbursement shall be determined in accordance with §134.1 of this title (relating to Medical Reimbursement).
- 4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(f) states that "The reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Inpatient Prospective Payment System (IPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register. The following minimal modifications shall be applied.
  - (1) The sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by:
    - (A) 143 percent; unless
    - (B) a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement in accordance with subsection (g) of this section, in which case the facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 108 percent."
- 5. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

Explanation of benefits dated December 16, 2010

- 1 W1 –Workers Compensation State Fee Schedule Adjustment
- 1 The charge for this procedure exceeds the fee schedule allowance. (Z710)

Explanation of benefits dated June 6, 2011

- 1 W1 -Workers Compensation State Fee Schedule Adjustment
- 1 The charge for this procedure exceeds the fee schedule allowance. (Z710)
- 2 This bill was reviewed for ESIS treatment parameters. (MT38)
- 2 This bill was reviewed for ESIS treatment parameters. (MT44)
- 2 This bill was reviewed for ESIS treatment parameters. (MT39)
- \* We are unable to recommend an additional allowance since this claim was paid in accordance with the state's fee schedule guidelines, First Health Bill Review's usual and customary policies, and/or was reviewed in accordance with the provider's contract with First Health. (Z951)

#### **Issues**

- 1. Were the disputed services subject to a specific fee schedule set in a contract between the parties that complies with the requirements of Labor Code §413.011?
- 2. Can the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount for the disputed services be determined according to 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(f)?
- 3. Did the facility or a surgical implant provider request separate reimbursement for implantables in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(g)?
- 4. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement for the disputed services?

### **Findings**

- 1. No documentation was found to support a contractual agreement between the parties to this dispute. Therefore, the Division concludes that the disputed services are not included in a specific fee schedule set in a contract that complies with the requirements of Labor Code §413.011.
- 2. Review of the submitted documentation finds that the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount for the disputed services can be determined according to 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(f).

- 3. Review of the submitted documentation finds no request for separate reimbursement of implantables in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(g).
- 4. Reimbursement for the disputed services is calculated in accordance with 28 TAC §134.404(f)(1)(A) as follows:

The Medicare facility-specific reimbursement amount including outlier payment amount for DRG 914 is \$4,492.92.

This amount multiplied by 143% is \$6,424.88.

The total maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) is \$6,424.88.

This amount less the amount previously paid by the respondent of \$6,185.50 leaves an amount due to the requestor of \$239.38.

The Division concludes that the requestor is entitled to \$239.38 additional reimbursement.

### **Conclusion**

For the reasons stated above, the division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$239.38.

### **ORDER**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$239.38 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

<u>Authorized Signature</u>		
		September 29, 2011
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

### YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with other required information specified in Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.